

ORIGINAL

DRE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

	THE AREONA CORT ORATION COMMISSION				
2	<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>	Arizona Corporation Commission	AZ CORP COMMEN AME		
3	BOB STUMP - Chairman	DOCKETED	DOCKET COMME		
4	GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS	JUN 2 5 2014	2014 JUN 25 PM 1 37		
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH	DOCKETED BY	7		
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE AP	PLICATION	T DOCKET NO. WS-20794A-11-0140		
7	OF DII-EMERALD SPRINGS, CERTIFICATE OF CONVENI				
8	NECESSITY TO PROVIDE W. SERVICES.	ASTEWATER			
9	IN THE MATTER OF THE AP OF DII-EMERALD SPRINGS,	PLICATION L.L.C. FOR	DOCKET NO. WS-20794A-11-0279		
10	APPROVAL OF RATES.	L.D.C. TOR			
11	IN THE MATTER OF THE AP OF DOYLE THOMPSON FOR		DOCKET NO. SW-20851A-12-0226		
12	OF A CERTIFICATE OF CON AND NECESSITY TO PROVI	VENIENCE			

SERVICES. PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 3, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued in this consolidated matter scheduling a hearing to commence on July 23, 2013, and establishing other procedural requirements and deadlines.

On May 1, 2013, DII-Emerald Springs, L.L.C. ("DII") filed a Motion Requesting Approval for Witnesses to Testify via Video Conferencing ("Motion"). The Motion was subsequently amended on May 15, 2013, and was granted on June 5, 2013, allowing for telephonic testimony from DII's witness.

On May 28, 2013, Doyle Thompson filed proof that notice of the July 23, 2013, hearing date had been provided to tenants at Copper State Mobile Home & RV Park ("Copper State") with their monthly billing statements, had been publicly posted at Copper State, had been e-mailed to Emerald Springs Homeowners Association ("HOA") members by the HOA's Treasurer, and had been published on May 1, 2013, in the *Palo Verde Valley Times*.

On July 2, 2013, the HOA filed a Motion to Continue July 23-26 Hearing ("HOA Motion"), stating that the HOA and Robhana, Inc. ("Robhana"), both intervenors in this matter, had taken steps to form a sewer district in Ehrenberg, which would render moot the applications pending in this

matter. The HOA Motion requested that the hearing be continued for 90 days to allow for the sewer district to be formed. The HOA further stated that the HOA had contacted all of the parties to this matter regarding the HOA Motion and that only DII objected to the HOA Motion.

On July 3, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued vacating the evidentiary hearing scheduled for July 23, 2013; ordering that a public comment proceeding instead be held on July 23, 2013; and ordering each party to file, by October 1, 2013, an update on the status of the sewer district formation, along with any pertinent supporting documentation and the party's proposal regarding the process and schedule for the matter going forward.

On July 23, 2013, the public comment proceeding was held as scheduled. DII, Robhana, and Staff appeared; Mr. Thompson and the HOA did not appear. Public comment was received from two individuals who own property within the service area at issue. Additionally, counsel for Robhana provided an update on the status of the sewer district formation, indicating that there was already sufficient property-owner support for the sewer district to be formed, but that efforts were being made to determine the boundaries of the district area and to identify prospective district board members.

On October 1, 2013, the HOA and Robhana filed a joint status update stating that the petition for the Ehrenberg Improvement District had been drafted and was expected to be filed in early October and heard by the La Paz County Board of Supervisors in early November. The HOA and Robhana further stated that five prospective board members¹ had been identified, that steps were being taken to amend the boundaries for the district, and that both the HOA and Robhana were encouraged by the progress made thus far and intended to continue moving forward with the sewer district formation. Staff also filed its update, recommending that this matter continue to be held in abeyance pending the outcome of the sewer district formation, which could be finalized as early as November 2013, and that Robhana be required to file another status update in 60 days regarding the status and progress of the sewer district formation. DII also filed its status update, reporting that the other parties had not been in communication with DII except through the joint status update, that DII

The five individuals are current board members for the area water provider, Ehrenberg Improvement Association.

was disappointed by the "lack of pertinent information" in the joint status update, that the purpose of forming a sewer district is to circumvent the Commission's authority, and that there are a number of questions to which DII would like answers. DII requested that its questions be answered before any additional extensions are granted to allow for the formation of the district.²

On October 8, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued ordering the HOA and Robhana to file, by December 1, 2013, a joint status update reciting the actions taken toward formation of the sewer district, copies of documents filed with government entities toward that end, and the parties' proposal regarding the process and schedule for this matter going forward.

On October 10, 2013, the HOA and Robhana filed a supplement to the joint status update stating that they had inadvertently omitted that they supported Staff's recommendation for this matter to be continued with a status update required every 60 days.

On December 2, 2013, the HOA and Robhana filed a joint status update stating the Petition to form a sewer district has been signed by the potential district board members, that minor errors in the district map are being corrected, and that the Petition will be filed with the La Paz County Clerk once those corrections are completed, probably within the next 10 business days. The HOA and Robhana requested that the matter be continued for an additional 90 days, with another joint status update required at that time.

On December 4, 2013, by Procedural Order, the matter was continued for 90 days requiring the HOA and Robhana to file a status update by March 3, 2014.

On March 3, 2014, the HOA and Robhana filed a Third Joint Status Update stating that further progress had been made towards the formation of the sewer district. The HOA and Robhana further stated that the Petition and supporting documents had been submitted to the La Paz County Board of Supervisors, and that the parties were waiting for the Board to set a hearing on the Petition. The HOA and Robhana requested that the matter be continued for an additional 90 days, with another joint status update required at that time.

To the extent that DII owns property within the boundaries of the area proposed for the sewer district, DII should have the ability to participate and to voice its position in the appropriate venue regarding that formation. The Commission does not regulate sewer districts and does not have jurisdiction over their formation.

On March 14, 2014, a Procedural Order was issued requiring the HOA and Robhana to file, by June 3, 2014, "a joint status update reciting the actions taken thus far toward formation of a sewer district for the Ehrenberg area, copies of any documents filed with the county and/or other governmental entities in furtherance of district formation, and the parties' proposal regarding the process and schedule for this matter going forward."

On June 2, 2014, the HOA and Robhana filed a Fourth Joint Status Update stating that "the sewer district was formed." The filing did not include any documentation to support the formation of the sewer district and did not include any proposal for the process and schedule for this matter going forward.

In order to determine how to proceed with the resolution of this docket, it is necessary for the parties to provide the Commission with complete information regarding the formation of the sewer district³ and how the formation of the sewer district impacts the CC&N service area for which both DII and Mr. Thompson have filed applications for a CC&N. Thus, all of the parties will be required to make filings to that end.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the HOA and Robhana shall, by July 30, 2014, file in this docket documentation establishing the formation of the sewer district for the Ehrenberg area; explaining how the formation of the sewer district impacts the CC&N service area for which both DII and Mr. Thompson have filed applications for a CC&N herein; specifically addressing whether the entire requested service area is included within the sewer district; providing recommendations for how this matter should be resolved; and providing any further information of which the Commission should be aware in determining how to resolve this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **DII** and **Mr. Thompson each shall, by July 30, 2014**, file in this docket a document explaining how the formation of the sewer district impacts the CC&N service area for which each has applied for a CC&N herein; specifically addressing whether the entire requested service area is included within the sewer district; asserting the party's current position regarding the party's CC&N application filed herein; providing a recommendation for how this

For the convenience of the reader and the sake of brevity, the ordering paragraphs herein refer to the sewer district as though it has been formed, although the formation of the district has not yet been established in the record herein.

matter should be resolved; and providing any further information of which the Commission should be aware in determining how to resolve this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31 and 38 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Arizona Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation to appear at all hearings, procedural conferences, and Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge or Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any motion, other than a Motion to Intervene, that is filed in this matter and that is not ruled upon within 20 calendar days of the filing date of the motion shall be deemed denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that except as otherwise ordered, any response to a motion shall be filed within five calendar days of the filing date of the motion

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that except as otherwise ordered, any reply shall be filed within five calendar days of the filing date of the response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 25 day of June, 2014.

SARAH N. HARPRING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

ļ			
1	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 25th day of June, 2014, to:		
2	Henry Melendez	Doyle R. Thompson	
3	DII-EMERALD SPRINGS, L.L.C. 212 East Rowland Street, No. 423 Covina, CA 91723-3146	COPPER STATE GAME CLUB, R.V. AND MOBILE HOME PARK P.O. Box 287	
•	Julie A. LaBenz	Ehrenberg, AZ 85334	
5	CHURCHILL & LABENZ	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel	
6	1300 Joshua Avenue, Suite B Parker, AZ 85344	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
7	Attorney for Emerald Springs HOA Steve Wene	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
8	MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division	
9	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorney for Robhana, Inc. and Charles Dunn Capital, Inc.	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street	
10	Curtis Cox	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
11	Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office		
12	1275 West Washington Street		
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007 Attorney for Arizona Department of Environmental Quality		
14			
15	By: Plinquera		
16	# *		
17	1 10010 to 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			